



# CPUX-F Curriculum and Glossary

Version 3.16 EN, 1 November 2020



Author: UXQB



#### **Contents**

Introduction	3
Overview of CPUX-F resources	3
Learning Objectives	3
Acknowledgments	4
1. The human-centred design process	5
2. Basic concepts	9
3. Plan the human-centred design process	15
4. Analysis: understand and specify the context of use	16
5. Specify the user requirements	27
6. Design: produce design solutions to meet user requirements	31
6.1. Dialogue principles and user interface guidelines	
7. Evaluate the design against user requirements	43
7.1. Usability tests	
7.2. Other evaluation methods	50
Informative Appendix A. Model course for preparatory training	53
A.1. Day 1	
A.2. Day 2	54
Informative Appendix B. Important changes to this document	55
Index	56

Copyright 2020 The User Experience Qualification Board, <a href="www.uxqb.org">www.uxqb.org</a>. The UXQB hereby grants permission to use all or parts of this document for certification purposes and other relevant purposes, provided that the source is clearly acknowledged.



#### Introduction

This document defines what you need to know in order to pass the certification test for Certified Professional for Usability and User Experience - Foundation Level (CPUX-F). The certification test will only cover concepts and knowledge that are described in this document.

Terms that are defined in the curriculum always appear in **bold**.

#### Overview of CPUX-F resources

All relevant information about the CPUX-F certification and other types of CPUX certifications is freely available from UXQB.org – the website of the International Usability and User Experience Qualification Board.

The information on UXQB.org includes:

- A complete list of recognised CPUX-F training providers and available courses.
   Note that training is recommended but not required in order to take the CPUX-F certification test.
- CPUX-F Curriculum and Glossary (this document) for download.
- A complete sample set of 40 CPUX-F certification questions with answers for training purposes.

The Curriculum and Glossary document is available in several languages. For currently available language versions, please check UXQB.org

We strongly recommend that you study the complete, publicly available sample set of CPUX-F certification questions carefully before you take the certification test.

#### **Learning Objectives**

Learning objectives (LO) are brief statements at the start of each section that describe what you are expected to know after studying that section.

The table at the beginning of each section shows that section's learning objectives.

LO#	Learning Objective

Learning Objectives are characterised by the keywords

*Know* – that is, recite, recognise

*Understand* – that is, compare, distinguish, explain, substantiate, summarise

*Master* – that is, analyse, communicate, document, execute, plan.

In other curricula, these 3 levels are designated "K1", "K2" and "K3", respectively. The CPUX-F curriculum contains no learning objectives at the *Mastering* level.



#### **Acknowledgments**

This document was created by the following persons:

Chris Bailey

Nigel Bevan

Kay Behrenbruch

Holger Fischer

**Thomas Geis** 

John Goodall

Rüdiger Heimgärtner

Oliver Kluge

Rolf Molich (Editor)

Sandra Murth

Knut Polkehn

Michael Richter

Julian Roland

Chris Rourke

Guido Tesch

Norbert Zellhofer

The following persons contributed to previous versions of the document: Peter Hunkirchen.



#### 1. The human-centred design process

LO#	Learning Objective
1.1	Understand the basic elements of <b>human-centred design</b> : involving <b>users</b> ; <b>iteration</b> based on frequent <b>usability evaluation</b> ; addressing the whole <b>user experience</b>
1.2	Understand the <b>human-centred design</b> activities and their interdependency
1.3	Understand the purpose of each deliverable of the <b>human-centred design</b> activities
1.4	Know what agile development and lean UX are
1.5	Know what <b>usability maturity</b> is
1.6	Know the <b>usability maturity</b> levels incomplete, performed, managed and innovating

**Human-centred design** is an approach to design that aims to make **interactive systems** more usable by focusing on the use of the **interactive system** and applying usability knowledge and methods.

**Human-centred design** is based upon an explicit understanding of **users**, **goals**, **tasks**, **resources** and **environments**. **Users** are involved throughout the design. The design is driven and refined by **usability evaluation**. The process is **iterative** – that is, it continues until the **user requirements** are met. The design addresses the whole **user experience** (UX).

**Lean UX** is an approach to **human-centred design** that focuses on a fast, **iterative** approach through early usability evaluation and lightweight deliverables. **Lean UX** informs and supports **Agile development**, where working but incomplete software is delivered early and frequently, to enable quick feedback.

An organisation's receptiveness to **usability** activities and findings may be influenced by its **usability maturity**.






Figure 1. The interdependence of **human-centred design** activities according to the ISO 9241-210 standard.

Textual description of diagram (opens separate PDF-File)...

The black rectangles show the 5 key activities in an **iterative**, **human-centred design** process. "UX deliverables" are common outputs from the corresponding activity. The grey hatched arrows show iteration.

If a project has insufficient resources to produce all the UX deliverables specified in Figure 1, some of the deliverables may be skipped, for example **task models**, **user needs**, **storyboards** and **user journey maps**.

**Human-centred design** means planning for iterations, getting **user** feedback as early and as often as possible. It is perfectly acceptable to run through the iterations often with lightweight UX deliverables, for example in **agile development**.

All UX deliverables in Figure 1 are defined in the curriculum.



Term	Definition
Human- centred design	An approach to design that aims to make <b>interactive systems</b> more usable by focusing on the use of the <b>interactive system</b> and applying human factors, ergonomics and usability knowledge and methods.
	<ol> <li>Notes:</li> <li>The concept "human-centred design" is used instead of "user-centred design" to emphasise the need to consider additional stakeholders who may not be users.</li> <li>Feedback from users through usability evaluation is a critical source of information in human-centred design.</li> </ol>
Iterative	Repetitive. An iterative process repeats steps in the <b>human-centred design</b> process until a <b>usability evaluation</b> of the <b>user interface</b> shows that the <b>user requirements</b> have been adequately met.
Agile development	A set of principles, methods and approaches for improving productivity by reducing documentation and unnecessary formalism, and focusing on <b>iterative</b> development in short cycles, collaboration and communication, incremental improvement and adaptation to changes.
	<ol> <li>Notes:         <ol> <li>In an agile environment, design teams usually work in short development cycles, often called sprints or iterations, of one week to one month. In each cycle, the goal is to design, code and evaluate a feature or a group of features. Evaluation is carried out with users and other stakeholders.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Usability methods that work well with agile development:         <ol> <li>Frequent usability tests. Usability test participants are recruited well in advance and scheduled each week, so whatever is ready can be usability tested. Appropriate usability test tasks are prepared shortly before the usability test based on what is available.</li> <li>Low-fidelity prototyping with early mock-ups to prepare next iterations.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>
Lean UX	An approach to <b>human-centred design</b> that integrates principles and methods for <b>usability</b> and <b>user experience</b> into <b>agile development</b> , thereby achieving economic advantages.
	<ol> <li>Notes:         <ol> <li>Agile development processes are the basis for lean UX, as the iterative approach in teams and the realisation of small, well defined packages enables regular, small and fast usability tests. The results from usability tests are then directly used in the next iteration for the development.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Lean UX assumes that at first everything is a hypothesis and consequently needs to be validated. The team learns through experiments with users in the context of use. Failure is part of the learning process – not every hypothesis is validated, not every experiment provides the desired results.</li> <li>Instead of carrying out extensive user research upfront, lean UX derives the hypotheses to be validated from known context of use information, e.g. from stakeholder interviews. These hypotheses are then validated or questioned in subsequent contextual interviews or usability test sessions.</li> </ol>



Term	Definition
Usability maturity	The level of understanding and implementation of a systematic <b>human-centred design</b> process within an organisation.
	<ol> <li>Usability maturity can be expressed in a model with 4 levels:         <ul> <li>Incomplete: The human-centred design process is not implemented, or fails to achieve its process purpose.</li> <li>Note: At this level there is little evidence of any systematic achievement of the process purpose. Product managers may say that they care about usability, but when it comes to spending budgets or making otherwise inconvenient decisions to achieve usability, nothing happens. Usability is fine if it comes for free, but no one is committed to delivering it.</li> <li>Performed: The human-centred design process achieves its process purpose.</li></ul></li></ol>
	<ul> <li>carry out activities that clearly demonstrate the benefits of usability, for example:</li> <li>a. Run usability tests. Invite stakeholders to participate in the planning of the usability test. Ask stakeholders to observe usability test sessions and participate in writing the usability test report.</li> <li>b. Ask management and stakeholders to leave the office and put themselves in the context of their users.</li> <li>c. Ask management and staff to use their own products and services, like a customer. They may never have used their company's products.</li> <li>d. Conduct usability tests of prototypes with project management as observers or test participants.</li> <li>3. The usability maturity model is based on the process measurement framework for</li> </ul>
	process capability in ISO 33020.



#### 2. Basic concepts

LO#	Learning Objective
2.1	Understand usability and its three main criteria
2.2	Understand user experience (UX)
2.3	Understand the difference between <b>usability</b> and <b>user experience</b>
2.4	Know what a <b>goal</b> is
2.5	Understand user interface, dialogue and interactive system
2.6	Know what accessibility is
2.7	Know about important accessibility aids
2.8	Know the purpose and most basic contents of ISO 9241
2.9	Know the responsibilities that a user experience professional can have

**Usability** is the extent to which an **interactive system** is **effective**, **efficient** and **satisfying** to use in a specified **context of use**.

An **interactive system** is **effective** if it supports what **users** need to do to reach their **goals**, and if **users** can figure out how to do it.

An **interactive system** is **efficient** if it supports **users** in carrying out their **tasks** using as few **resources** as possible. In most cases, this means that **users** must be able to complete their **tasks** quickly.

An **interactive system** is **satisfying** if it is pleasant to use.

**User experience** (UX) considers **satisfaction** before, during and after use (whereas **usability** considers **satisfaction** only during use). **User experience** before use may be influenced by company branding, customer reviews, previous interactions, etc. **User experience** after use may be influenced by product delivery, post-sales support, recent interactions, etc.

**Accessibility** is the extent to which an **interactive system** enables **users** to interact with it, regardless of their level of vision, hearing, dexterity, cognition, physical mobility, etc.

A **user experience professional** is a person who has specific responsibilities associated with the **human-centred quality** of an **interactive system**. Their responsibilities include analysis of the **context of use**, specifying **user requirements**, producing design solutions – in particular **prototypes** – and carrying out **usability evaluations**.



Term	Definition
Usability	The extent to which an <b>interactive system</b> can be used by specified <b>users</b> to achieve specified <b>goals</b> with <b>effectiveness</b> , <b>efficiency</b> and <b>satisfaction</b> in a specified <b>context of use</b> .
	Notes:  1. Usability depends on users, goals and tasks, and other aspects of the <b>context of use</b> .  2. Technical defects may lead to <b>usability problems</b> if they prevent <b>users</b> from solving their <b>tasks effectively</b> or <b>efficiently</b> .
Effectiveness	The accuracy and completeness with which users achieve specified <b>goals</b> .
	<ol> <li>Notes:         <ol> <li>Accuracy is the extent to which an actual outcome matches an intended outcome.</li> <li>Completeness is the extent to which the use of the system, product, or service produces all intended outcomes.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Completeness can be measured as the success rate: (Number of users who achieve a specified goal) / (Number of users who attempt to reach the specified goal).</li> <li>Effectiveness is the attribute of usability that focuses on being able to accomplish tasks.</li> </ol>
	<ol> <li>Example:         <ol> <li>A car rental website does not offer users any opportunity to cancel a reservation. An analysis of the context of use shows that users need this function. There is a problem with the effectiveness of the website.</li> </ol> </li> <li>A car rental website enables users to cancel a reservation. A usability test shows that only 5 out of 100 users are able to figure out how to cancel their reservation. Those who are able to figure out how to do it, do so quickly. There is a problem with the effectiveness but not with the efficiency of the website.</li> </ol>
Efficiency	The <b>resources</b> used in relation to the results achieved.
	Notes: 1. Resources include time, human effort, financial and material resources. 2. Efficiency is the attribute of usability that focuses on being able to accomplish a task using acceptable amounts of resources.
	<ol> <li>Example:         <ol> <li>A car rental website enables users to cancel a reservation. A usability test shows that the cancellation procedure is needlessly complicated even though all usability test participants finally manage to cancel their reservations. The effectiveness of the website is OK, since all users manage to achieve their goal. There is a problem with the efficiency of the website.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Sluggish response caused for example by an overloaded interactive system is a usability problem.</li> </ol>



Term	Definition
Satisfaction	The extent to which the <b>user's</b> physical, cognitive and emotional responses that result from the use of an <b>interactive system</b> meet the user's needs and expectations.
	<ol> <li>Effectiveness and efficiency may influence satisfaction. For example, low effectiveness or low efficiency may lead to low satisfaction. Satisfaction may influence effectiveness and efficiency. For example, frustration may cause users to quit a task, which influences effectiveness.</li> <li>Satisfaction is often measured using a questionnaire. See the examples in the definition of questionnaire.</li> <li>The difference between satisfaction and user experience is that satisfaction results from use, while user experience results from discovering, adopting and using the interactive system, through to final use and recollections of use. In addition, user experience can be influenced by more than just use, for example brand image, price and opinion of others, but it is still related to actual or imagined use.</li> </ol>
	<ol> <li>Examples of dissatisfaction and satisfaction:</li> <li>Prolonged periods of use of a notebook without an external mouse causes muscular discomfort.</li> <li>Users say that it "takes forever" to reserve a car on a car rental website.</li> <li>Users spontaneously say that they like the appearance of the home page of a car rental website.</li> <li>High prices or unacceptable terms of service in a web shop are not part of satisfaction because satisfaction is about the usage of an interactive system. They may influence the user experience.</li> </ol>



Term	Definition
User	A <b>user's</b> perceptions and responses that result from the use and/or anticipated use of an
experience	interactive system.
	Notes:
	1. Users' perceptions and responses include the users' emotions, beliefs, preferences,
	comfort, behaviours, and accomplishments that occur before, during and after use.
	2. User experience is a consequence of brand image, presentation, functionality, system
	performance, interactive behaviour, and assistive capabilities of the <b>interactive system</b> . It also results from the <b>user's</b> internal and physical state resulting from prior
	experiences, attitudes, skills, abilities and personality; and from the <b>context of use</b> .
	3. Usability criteria can be used to evaluate aspects of user experience.
	4. <b>Usability</b> is mainly about the interaction with the <b>interactive system</b> . User experience
	also takes into account what happens before and after the interaction through to final use and recollections of use. See the examples below.
	5. User experience is mainly about <b>satisfaction</b> and fulfilment of expectations.
	6. User experience is often referred to as UX.
	7. The following figure shows the relationship between user experience and <b>usability</b> .
	Usability is effectiveness, efficiency and satisfaction during actual use, while user
	experience is the <b>satisfaction</b> or dissatisfaction during anticipated use, actual use and after use.
	after use.
	User Experience  Fulfilment of
	Expectation ( Satisfaction )
	Effectiveness
	Efficiency
	Anticipated use Actual use After use
	Textual description of diagram (opens separate PDF-File)
	Examples that illustrate the difference between <b>usability</b> and user experience:
	When ordering flowers for delivery from a flower store's website:
	1. <b>Usability problems</b> encountered during checkout affect both the user experience and
	<ul><li>usability.</li><li>2. The quality of the physical flowers delivered affects only the user experience. It does not</li></ul>
	affect <b>usability</b> of the flower store's website.
	3. The experience of visiting the physical store affects the user experience of subsequent
	visits to the website. It does not affect the <b>usability</b> of the flower store's website.



Definition
The intended outcome.
<ol> <li>Notes:</li> <li>Goals are used in human-centred design to express the intention of users on a high level without foreclosing technical solutions. The concepts "goal" and "user need" are related.</li> <li>A goal is typically expressed in the form of a condition or state.         In contrast, a task is typically expressed in the form of an activity.     </li> </ol>
Examples:  1. Goal: Change the colour of my hair from brown to red.  Task: Book an appointment with my hairdresser using their website.  2. Goal: Visit a friend in a small city, 100 km away.  Task: Rent a car using the car rental website.  Renting a car is not a user goal.
All components of an <b>interactive system</b> (software or hardware) that provide information and controls for the <b>user</b> , to allow them to accomplish specific <b>tasks</b> with the <b>interactive system</b> .
An interaction between a <b>user</b> and an <b>interactive system</b> that consists of <b>user</b> actions (input) and responses from the <b>interactive system</b> (output) in order to achieve a <b>goal</b> .
A combination of hardware, software and services that <b>users</b> interact with in order to
achieve specific <b>goals</b> .
<ol> <li>Notes:         <ol> <li>This includes, where appropriate, packaging, user documentation, on-line help, support and training.</li> <li>Even systems that do not accept input from users are covered by this definition, for example destination boards in an airport or signs in a train station.</li> </ol> </li> <li>The extent to which an interactive system enables users to interact with it effectively, efficiently and with satisfaction, regardless of their level of vision, hearing, dexterity, cognition, physical mobility, etc.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Notes:</li> <li>Standards and guidelines for accessibility are available; standards may be legally enforced in some markets. Relevant guidelines include W3C's Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.0 and ISO 9241-171, Guidance on software accessibility.</li> <li>Assistive technologies, such as screen readers, may be used by people with visual impairments to help them interact with an interactive system. Additional descriptions, for example alt tags, can be added to the code of non-textual elements, such as pictures and diagrams, to convey their meaning.</li> </ol>
A family of standards covering human-centred design.
Note:  1. ISO 9241 includes standards related to  a. Software ergonomics;  b. The human-centred design process;  c. Displays and display related hardware;  d. Physical input devices;  e. Workplace ergonomics;  f. Environment ergonomics;  g. Control centres.



Term	Definition
User	A professional who has one or more of the following responsibilities in a project:
experience	1. Planning and managing the <b>human-centred design</b> process;
professional	2. Identifying and describing the <b>context of use</b> ;
	3. Deriving the user requirements;
	4. Creating the <b>information architecture</b> and the <b>navigation structure</b> ;
	5. Defining and conceiving the interaction between humans and the <b>interactive system</b>
	based on the <b>context of use</b> and the <b>user requirements</b> ;
	6. Designing the graphic part of the <b>user interface</b> ;
	7. Carrying out <b>usability evaluations</b> of <b>user interfaces</b> in various stages of realisation.



#### 3. Plan the human-centred design process

LO#	Learning Objective
3.1	Know what the planning activities for a human-centred design project are
3.2	Understand what human-centred quality objectives are

In the planning activity, the user experience manager plans the human-centred part of the design activities for an **interactive system**.

Planning activities include appointing the manager of **human-centred design** activities, and either writing the **user experience project plan** or including **human-centred design** activities in the project plan. The **user experience project plan** includes **human-centred quality objectives**. Planning includes the appointment of other **user experience professionals** who will participate in the project.

Term	Definition
User	A description of the <b>human-centred design</b> activities and deliverables for an <b>interactive</b>
experience	system.
project plan	<ol> <li>Notes:</li> <li>The description can be an independent document or a part of the overall project plan.</li> <li>The user experience project plan contains:         <ul> <li>a. The human-centred quality objectives specific to the project;</li> <li>b. The planned human-centred deliverables and the activities needed to produce those deliverables as part of the project;</li> <li>c. The time plan;</li> <li>d. The cost estimate for the human-centred design activities.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
Human-	The <b>goals</b> that are to be achieved for the <b>user</b> of an <b>interactive system</b> when developing the
centred	interactive system.
quality objectives	Notes: 1. Human-centred quality objectives relate to one or more of the following components of human-centred quality: <b>usability</b> , <b>accessibility</b> , <b>user experience</b> and avoidance of harm from use.
	Examples of human-centred quality objectives:  1. Travelers to the US must be able to pass through immigration twice as quickly as before (usability, efficiency).  2. Blind users must be able to recognise and understand the content of the website
	(accessibility).
	3. <b>Users</b> must have a feeling of complete privacy when using the electronic voting booth
	<ul> <li>(user experience).</li> <li>4. When using a system for creating prescriptions, the user must not be able to prescribe drugs that are incompatible with each other (avoidance of harm from use).</li> </ul>



#### 4. Analysis: understand and specify the context of use

LO#	Learning Objective
4.1	Understand the <b>context of use</b>
4.2	Understand the concept: user
4.3	Understand the differences between <b>primary</b> , <b>secondary</b> and <b>indirect users</b>
4.4	Understand the concept: stakeholder
4.5	Know what a user group and a user group profile are
4.6	Understand the concept: task
4.7	Understand the difference between a <b>task</b> and a subtask
4.8	Know what <b>environment</b> means
4.9	Know what a <b>resource</b> is
4.10	Know what a <b>task model</b> is
4.11	Know what a <b>focus group</b> is
4.12	Know what <b>observation</b> is
4.13	Understand what a <b>contextual interview</b> is
4.14	Understand the difference between an interview and a contextual interview
4.15	Understand the master-apprentice model
4.16	Understand the interview checklist
4.17	Understand the differences between open, closed, neutral and leading questions
4.18	Understand what an as-is scenario is
4.19	Understand what a <b>persona</b> is
4.20	Understand what a <b>user journey map</b> is and what touchpoints are



The purpose of "Analysis: understand and specify the context of use" is to understand and describe who the **users** are, what they do, what problems they have, and what their **needs** are, with respect to the planned **interactive system**.

To understand **users** and their **needs**, we can **observe users** performing tasks, **interview users** and conduct **focus groups**.

In a **focus group**, a **moderator** leads a group of participants through a focused discussion around a set of questions on specific topics.

**Interviews** should focus on gathering information about the current **context of use** rather than the **interactive system** itself. They should be done contextually. A **contextual interview** takes place at the location where the **user**'s interaction with the **interactive system** usually takes place, for example the **user**'s workplace, their home or in a shop. An ordinary **interview** takes place in a neutral environment, for example in a meeting room.

During a contextual **interview**, the interviewer treats the interviewee as the master while the interviewer is the humble apprentice (**master-apprentice model**). The interviewer asks because they sincerely want to learn – not because they want to demonstrate their knowledge. The interviewer should use **open** and **neutral** interview questions rather than **closed** and **leading questions** to avoid biasing the interviewee. The interviewer should rely on an **interview checklist** to ensure that all relevant topics are addressed, rather than using it to control or steer the **interview**.

The outcome of this activity is a description of the **context of use**. The **context of use** has five components: **Users** (people who interact with the **interactive system**), **Goals** (what **users** want to achieve), **Tasks** (what **users** do to achieve their **goals**), **Environment** (where the interaction takes place), and **Resources** (the means required to perform the **task**).

The context of use is described in user group profiles and personas (who are the users), as-is scenarios (how do users currently do tasks), task models (details about what the tasks are) and user journey maps (how users interact with the interactive system and the organisation providing it).

A **user group profile** is a generalised description of a collection of **users** with the same or similar personal characteristics and **context of use** related to the **interactive system**.

A **persona** is a description of a fictitious but realistic **user** and what he or she intends to do when using the **interactive system**.

An **as-is scenario** is a narrative text description of the procedure a specific **user** currently follows to complete one or more **tasks**,

A **task model** is a list of subtasks for each **task** which the **user** has to complete to reach their **goals**. **Task models** help the design team to design the right solution for each **task**.

**User journey maps** provide an overview of the touchpoints where **users** interact with the **interactive system** and the organisation providing the **interactive system**. They help **stakeholders** and **user experience professionals** understand and optimise the **user experience**.

The main purpose of **personas** and **as-is scenarios** is to identify user needs and make it easier for designers, developers and other **stakeholders** to understand who the **users** are, what they do, what their obstacles are, and to facilitate discussions within the design team.



Term	Definition
Context of use	A combination of users, goals, tasks, resources, and environments.
	<ol> <li>Notes:</li> <li>The context of use is determined by interviewing users or by observing them carry out tasks.</li> <li>The results from focus groups, observation and contextual interviews are described in the context of use description.</li> </ol>
	Examples of contexts of use, users, goals and tasks, environments and resources:  1. Consider the <b>interactive system</b> "messaging app":  Teenagers on a bus use their phones to send messages to their friends to make them laugh.  a. Users: Teenagers;  b. Goal: Make friends laugh;
	<ul> <li>c. Task: Send message;</li> <li>d. Social environment: Friends:</li> <li>e. Physical environment: Bus;</li> <li>f. Resource: Phone.</li> <li>2. Consider the interactive system "text processor":</li> <li>Secretaries in a school office create certificates for students in time for graduation; they validate the certificates with stamps.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>a. Users: Secretaries;</li> <li>b. Goal: Have the certificates ready in time for graduation;</li> <li>c. Task: Create certificates;</li> <li>d. Social environment: School staff and students;</li> <li>e. Physical environment: School and school office;</li> <li>f. Resource: Stamp.</li> </ul>
Context of use description	A description of the users, goals, tasks, resources, and environments derived from observations, contextual interviews and focus groups.
	<ol> <li>Notes:</li> <li>The context of use description is the basis for identifying user needs and tracing them back to their source.</li> <li>A context of use description describes:         <ul> <li>a. Users, in the form of user group profiles and personas;</li> <li>b. Goals in the form of as-is scenarios;</li> <li>c. Tasks, in the form of task models, as-is scenarios or user journey maps;</li> <li>d. Resources, in the form of lists or as-is scenarios;</li> <li>e. Environments, in the form of as-is scenarios.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Examples of components within a context of use description are user group profiles,</li> </ol>
	personas, as-is scenarios, task models and user journey maps.



Term	Definition
User	A person who interacts with an <b>interactive system</b> , or who uses the output of the system.
	<ol> <li>Notes:</li> <li>A user is one of the following:         <ul> <li>a. Primary user: a user who uses the interactive system for its intended purpose.</li> <li>b. Secondary user: a user who carries out support tasks with the interactive system, for example to maintain it or to train primary users.</li> <li>c. Indirect user: a user who uses the output of the interactive system, but who does not interact directly with it.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Stakeholders may or may not be users. Stakeholders are not considered to be users if they are affected by an interactive system but don't interact with it or use its output.</li> </ol>
	Examples of <b>stakeholders</b> who are not users:  1. The non-technical managers of a team of technical users.  2. People affected by the noise produced by an <b>interactive system</b> .  3. Marketers affected by the impact of the use of the <b>interactive system</b> on the brand.
	<ol> <li>Examples:</li> <li>A customer (user) uses a car reservation website to make a reservation – The customer is a primary user of the system.</li> <li>A customer (user) calls the reservation centre where a customer service representative uses the same system to make the reservation for them – The customer is an indirect user of the system.</li> </ol>
Primary user	A user who uses the interactive system for its intended purpose.
Secondary user	<ol> <li>Examples of primary users:</li> <li>A bank customer who uses a cash dispenser to withdraw money is a primary user of the cash dispenser.</li> <li>A call centre operative who uses a reservation system to reserve cars for customers is a primary user of the reservation system.</li> <li>A user who carries out support tasks with the interactive system, for example to maintain it or to train primary users.</li> </ol>
	Note: 1. Secondary users – in particular maintenance staff – typically interact with a different user interface than primary users. This user interface also requires context analysis and specification of user requirements to be usable.
	<ol> <li>Examples of secondary users:</li> <li>A user who prints a document on a printer is a primary user of the printer. When the same user a moment later changes the ink on the printer, he or she is a secondary user of the printer.</li> <li>A bank employee who restocks a cash dispenser with cash is a secondary user of the cash dispenser.</li> <li>A trainer who teaches a call centre operative how to use a car reservation system is a secondary user of the reservation system.</li> </ol>
Indirect user	A <b>user</b> who uses the output of the <b>interactive system</b> , but who does not interact directly with the <b>interactive system</b> .
	<ol> <li>Examples of indirect users:</li> <li>A bank customer who receives a paper or electronic statement is an indirect user of the bank's computer system.</li> <li>A customer who contacts the call centre to reserve a car is an indirect user of the computer system used by the call centre operative to make the reservation.</li> </ol>



Term	Definition
Stakeholder	An individual or organisation with an active interest in an <b>interactive system</b> .
	Notes:  1. All users are stakeholders, but not all stakeholders are users.  To highlight the distinction, you can use the phrase "users and other stakeholders".  2. Market requirements and organisational requirements are examples of requirements from stakeholders who are not users.
	<ol> <li>Examples:</li> <li>Stakeholders might include: users, technical support, trainers, documentation writers and developers.</li> <li>Stakeholders who may not be users might include: designers, developers, managers of development teams, shareholders, board members and marketing professionals.</li> </ol>
User group	A collection of <b>users</b> with the same or similar personal characteristics and contexts of use related to the <b>interactive system</b> .
User group	A generalised description of a <b>user group</b> .
profile	Example of a user group profile for the website of a van rental company:  1. Customers – Private people who want to move house Private people rent a cargo van, for example because they want to move house. Most rentals are booked in advance and last 2-3 days. Most customers are one-time only customers.  Customers have no particular experience with cargo vans – they are used to smaller cars. They are unfamiliar with business terms and conventions in cargo van rental. Customers are reasonably familiar with the internet and are reluctant to provide their
	email address unless there is an explicit guarantee that they won't receive spam emails as a result.
Task	A set of activities undertaken in order to achieve a specific <b>goal</b> .
	<ol> <li>Notes:</li> <li>Most tasks can be subdivided into subtasks – that is, activities.</li> <li>A subtask does not in itself achieve a goal from the user's point of view but is a necessary decision or action to reach the user's goals.</li> <li>Most subtasks lead to choices or inputs by the user when using the interactive system.</li> <li>Some subtasks can be subdivided into smaller subtasks.</li> <li>Subtasks are unsuitable as usability test tasks, because they are very specific.</li> </ol>
	Examples of tasks and subtasks:  1. "Rent a car" is a task.  2. "Cancel a car rental reservation" is a task.  3. "Register on a car rental website" is a subtask.  4. "Log in to a car rental website" is a subtask.  5. The subtask, "Log in to a car rental website", can be broken down into smaller subtasks, such as:  a. Enter the username;  b. Enter the password;  c. Tick the 'Remember me' checkbox.
Environment	The physical, social and technical conditions in which a <b>user</b> interacts with an <b>interactive</b>
	system.
	Note: 1. The social conditions include the organisational conditions.



Term	Definition
Resource	All means required to use an interactive system.
Task model	Note:  1. Resources can be a. Reusable – for example: equipment, information and available human-based and system-based support; or b. Exhaustible – for example: time, human effort, financial resources and materials.  A description of the subtasks within a task that have to be carried out in order to reach the
	user's goals.
	<ol> <li>Notes:         <ol> <li>The purpose of a task model is to provide a precise description of a task.</li> <li>Task models should be written so users can understand and validate them.</li> <li>A task model describes a task's contextual preconditions, the steps needed to carry out the task, and its intended outcomes, whereas as-is scenarios and use scenarios describe how one or more tasks are carried out by a person.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Task models are created during analysis to describe current tasks. They are also created or updated during design to describe intended tasks.</li> </ol>
	Example of task model: Setting:  1. Interactive system: Ticket machine for public transport; 2. User: Person using public transport; 3. Task: Purchase a ticket to allow travel from the user's current location to a specific destination using public transport; 4. Precondition: The user has decided they need to be at a specific location at a specific time and will use public transport to get there; 5. Goal (intended outcome): The user has purchased a suitable ticket.
	<ol> <li>Subtasks:</li> <li>Identify the available modes of transport to the destination, for example bus or underground.</li> <li>Establish the departure time for each mode of transport, factoring in any transfers.</li> <li>Establish the costs for each mode of transport.</li> <li>Select the preferred mode of transport (based on departure time; duration; cost; any preferences for specific modes of transport).</li> <li>Pay for the ticket.</li> <li>Take the ticket.</li> </ol>
Observation	A method for gathering contextual information relating to <b>user needs</b> in which an <b>observer</b> watches <b>users</b> who carry out <b>tasks</b> that are related to the <b>interactive system</b> .
	<ol> <li>Notes:</li> <li>The observer behaves unobtrusively except that they may ask an occasional clarifying question.</li> <li>If no interactive system is available, existing procedures can be observed.</li> <li>Observation should take place in a context that is as natural as possible, for example at the user's workplace, their home or in a shop.</li> </ol>



Term	Definition
Interview	A data-gathering method that questions a few carefully selected individuals in depth to arrive at a fuller understanding of the <b>context of use</b> for an existing or planned <b>interactive system</b> . Through inquiry and interpretation, it reveals commonalities and differences across
	the <b>user</b> base.
	Notes:  1. In an interview, the interviewer (a user experience professional) typically conducts a briefing and then asks questions to a user about the current context of use and, if applicable, about the planned interactive system. The interviewer uses an interview checklist to ensure that all relevant topics are covered.  2. Interview questions should be
	7. Compare this definition to <b>contextual interview</b> , <b>pre-session interview</b> and <b>post-</b>
Contoutual	session interview.
Contextual interview	An <b>interview</b> that takes place at the location where the <b>user's</b> interaction with the <b>interactive system</b> usually takes place and focuses on the <b>context of use</b> of the <b>user</b> .
Interview	A written list of suitable questions and cues used by the interviewer during an <b>interview</b> to
checklist	make sure that all relevant topics are covered.



Term	Definition
Master- apprentice model	A principle for a successful <b>contextual interview</b> : The interviewer treats the <b>user</b> as the master while the interviewer is the apprentice. The goal of the master-apprentice model is to understand users' <b>goals</b> and <b>tasks</b> in detail by learning them as an apprentice would.
	<ol> <li>Notes:         <ol> <li>The interviewer asks because they sincerely want to learn – not because they want to demonstrate their knowledge.</li> <li>Everything the master says is correct. Sometimes the apprentice must ask several questions in order to fully understand the master – the interviewer must never leave unsure about what happened.</li> <li>Typical mistakes include:</li></ol></li></ol>
Open question	A question in an <b>interview</b> that does not give any indication of the expected format or
	<ul> <li>content of the answer.</li> <li>Notes:</li> <li>1. Open questions are desirable in <b>interviews</b> because they invite <b>users</b> to start talking and provide extensive answers to questions.</li> <li>2. Compare this to a <b>closed question</b>.</li> </ul>
	Examples:
Closed question	1. For examples of open (and <b>neutral</b> ) <b>interview</b> questions see <b>Neutral question</b> .  An interview question that requires an answer from a predetermined set of alternatives, for example yes and no.
	<ol> <li>Notes:</li> <li>Avoid several closed questions in sequence. They stop users talking because they sound like a police interrogation.</li> <li>Compare this to an open question.</li> </ol>
	Example:  1. Closed question: "Have you ever rented a car?"  A corresponding <b>open question</b> might be: "Please tell me about the last time you rented a car."
Neutral question	A question in an <b>interview</b> that has no built-in assumptions, and no frame that excludes anything or directs the reply in a certain direction.
	Note: 1. Compare this to a <b>leading question</b> .
	Examples of neutral (and open) interview questions:  1. "What happened?"  2. "What do you mean by that?"  3. "What possibilities do you have now?"  4. "What should the home page of the new car rental website look like?"



Term	Definition
Leading question	A question in an <b>interview</b> that signals a preference for certain possibilities, or attempts to direct the reply in a certain direction.
	Note: 1. Compare this to a <b>neutral question</b> .
	Examples of leading questions:  1. "Would you like to have the ability to categorise clients by their annual spend with your company?"  2. "What advantages does the current car rental website offer for your choice of rental car?"
Focus group	A focused discussion where a <b>moderator</b> leads a group of participants through a set of questions on specific topics.
	Note: 1. Do not use focus groups for <b>usability evaluation</b> . Focus groups are about attitude and opinion. In comparison, <b>usability tests</b> are about observing actual <b>user</b> behaviour.
As-is scenario	A narrative text description of the procedure a specific <b>user</b> currently follows to complete one or more <b>tasks</b> .
	<ol> <li>Notes:         <ol> <li>The specific user in the scenario is often a persona.</li> <li>As-is scenarios are created by a user experience professional based on results from observation and contextual interviews.</li> </ol> </li> <li>As-is scenarios are a suitable basis for developing personas as thinking about users in their current context of use involves thinking about what they want to do, and thinking about activities involves thinking about who will be undertaking them.</li> <li>As-is scenarios are reviewed by users to detect misunderstandings that may have occurred during contextual interview.</li> </ol>
	<ol> <li>Examples:         <ol> <li>As-is scenario</li> <li>"John Miller is a business traveller who often takes flights in the course of a week. He prefers to take his car to the airport. But every now and then he misses a flight and then regrets not having taken a taxi or tram to the airport. He simply underestimates the queues at the front of the car park and the walking time to the gate."</li></ol></li></ol>



Term	Definition
Persona	A description of a fictitious but realistic <b>user</b> and what they intend to do when using an <b>interactive system</b> .
	<ol> <li>Notes:</li> <li>Personas are not real people; they are realistic representations of users, constructed from empirically determined data, for example from observations or interviews.</li> <li>Personas typically have a name, age, some background, goals and aspirations. A persona description should include information about the persona's knowledge about and interes in the subject matter of the interactive system. Including a photo in a persona description helps to create the illusion of a real person.</li> </ol>
	Example of a persona for an app to remotely control locks in private homes:
	Carol Becker, 55, Stoke-on-Trent (UK):  "It must be simple and trouble free"  Education: Primary school. Occupation: Helps out at the local library. Family status: Widowed. Two children (son and daughter), both are married and live elsewhere with their families. Hobbies and interests: Cooking and gardening.  Carol Becker lives in a large, old house several miles outside Stoke-on-Trent, which is south of Manchester. Mrs. Becker has an old Windows computer. She uses it for her extensive collection of cooking recipes and has recently been using it to keep in touch with the family via e-mail. She refers to the computer as "the beast" because it sometimes issues scary messages, which require a lot of time and help from others to resolve.
	Her children gave her a smartphone for Christmas. She currently uses it just to make calls.  Mrs. Becker maintains her house well. Because her house is old, she often has
	craftsmen visiting. Mrs. Becker is often away from home and she has problems letting the craftsmen in when she's not present.



Term		finition	
User journey	Ад	raphical or tabular description of all	encounters users have with the interactive system
map	covering all touchpoints that influence the <b>user experience</b> , making the overall <b>user</b>		
	exp	erience tangible for others.	
	Not	ec.	
	1. 2. 3. 4.	Besides depicting as-is scenarios or general communications medium to beyond the pure interaction, for exampurchase situation to the usage of the User journey maps do not replace as User journey maps are graphs or tab general. User journey maps can also	s-is scenarios or use scenarios. les that show the full user experience for users in show the user experience for a persona. g analysis to describe current encounters. They are
		Examples of touchpoints:  a. The first contact with the <b>interac</b> Also: Ads, quotes and sales staff  b. The direct task-oriented interaction and people who deliver products;  c. Telling others about my <b>user exp</b>	tive system: "How I heard about that new service." that answer users' pre-sales questions; on, including support staff, bills, instruction manuals erience, for example, writing a report to colleagues
	2	about my experience with the nev	
	2.	Example of tabular user journey ma	p for the task "Make a trip using a rented car":
	2.	Example of tabular user journey may User task	p for the task "Make a trip using a rented car":  Touchpoint
	2.	Example of tabular user journey ma	p for the task "Make a trip using a rented car":
	2.	Example of tabular user journey ma  User task  Looks for a car rental company	p for the task "Make a trip using a rented car":  Touchpoint Google, ad in magazine or newspaper, billboard.
	2.	Example of tabular user journey may User task	p for the task "Make a trip using a rented car":  Touchpoint Google, ad in magazine or newspaper,
	2.	Example of tabular user journey may  User task  Looks for a car rental company  Calls to ask questions	Touchpoint Google, ad in magazine or newspaper, billboard. Customer support, local station.
	2.	Example of tabular user journey may  User task  Looks for a car rental company  Calls to ask questions  Rents a car	Touchpoint Google, ad in magazine or newspaper, billboard. Customer support, local station. Website, customer support. Signs showing directions to the rental desk; Staff at the rental desk; Transfer by shuttle to the pick-up location; Staff at pick-up; Condition of the car and equipment;
	2.	Example of tabular user journey ma  User task  Looks for a car rental company  Calls to ask questions  Rents a car  Picks up the car at the airport	Touchpoint Google, ad in magazine or newspaper, billboard. Customer support, local station. Website, customer support. Signs showing directions to the rental desk; Staff at the rental desk; Transfer by shuttle to the pick-up location; Staff at pick-up; Condition of the car and equipment; Adjusting and starting car.
	2.	Example of tabular user journey ma  User task  Looks for a car rental company  Calls to ask questions  Rents a car  Picks up the car at the airport	Touchpoint Google, ad in magazine or newspaper, billboard. Customer support, local station. Website, customer support. Signs showing directions to the rental desk; Staff at the rental desk; Transfer by shuttle to the pick-up location; Staff at pick-up; Condition of the car and equipment; Adjusting and starting car. Operating the car and equipment; Instruction manual; Customer support, roadside service.
	2.	Example of tabular user journey ma  User task  Looks for a car rental company  Calls to ask questions  Rents a car  Picks up the car at the airport	Touchpoint Google, ad in magazine or newspaper, billboard. Customer support, local station. Website, customer support. Signs showing directions to the rental desk; Staff at the rental desk; Transfer by shuttle to the pick-up location; Staff at pick-up; Condition of the car and equipment; Adjusting and starting car. Operating the car and equipment; Instruction manual; Customer support, roadside service. Signs showing direction to return location;
	2.	User task Looks for a car rental company  Calls to ask questions Rents a car Picks up the car at the airport  Drives the car	Touchpoint Google, ad in magazine or newspaper, billboard. Customer support, local station. Website, customer support. Signs showing directions to the rental desk; Staff at the rental desk; Transfer by shuttle to the pick-up location; Staff at pick-up; Condition of the car and equipment; Adjusting and starting car. Operating the car and equipment; Instruction manual; Customer support, roadside service.
	2.	Example of tabular user journey ma  User task  Looks for a car rental company  Calls to ask questions  Rents a car  Picks up the car at the airport  Drives the car  Returns the car  Receives and pays the bill	Touchpoint Google, ad in magazine or newspaper, billboard. Customer support, local station. Website, customer support. Signs showing directions to the rental desk; Staff at the rental desk; Transfer by shuttle to the pick-up location; Staff at pick-up; Condition of the car and equipment; Adjusting and starting car. Operating the car and equipment; Instruction manual; Customer support, roadside service. Signs showing direction to return location; Staff at the return location. Bill, debit transaction, customer support.
	2.	Example of tabular user journey ma  User task  Looks for a car rental company  Calls to ask questions  Rents a car  Picks up the car at the airport  Drives the car	Touchpoint Google, ad in magazine or newspaper, billboard. Customer support, local station. Website, customer support. Signs showing directions to the rental desk; Staff at the rental desk; Transfer by shuttle to the pick-up location; Staff at pick-up; Condition of the car and equipment; Adjusting and starting car. Operating the car and equipment; Instruction manual; Customer support, roadside service. Signs showing direction to return location; Staff at the return location.



#### 5. Specify the user requirements

LO#	Learning Objective
5.1	Know what <b>user needs</b> are
5.2	Know the relationship and difference between a user need and a user requirement
5.3	Understand user requirement
5.4	Understand the difference between market, organisational and user requirements
5.5	Understand the difference between qualitative and quantitative user requirements

The purpose of "Specify the user requirements" is to define precise, determinable user requirements that must be met by the interactive system before it is released. User requirements are based on the user needs identified based on the results of the previous activity, "Understand and specify the context of use". User needs may not have been explicitly formulated.

User profiles, personas, as-is scenarios and task models from the analysis of the context of use are used to identify solution-independent user needs.

Qualitative or quantitative user requirements are derived from user needs. User requirements must be verifiable so it is possible to determine in a usability evaluation whether a prototype fulfils them or not.

The **user requirements** are also used to guide the design in order to ensure that the **interactive system** meets **user**'s expectations as closely as possible.



Term	Definition
User need	A prerequisite identified as necessary for a <b>user</b> , or a <b>user group</b> , to achieve a <b>goal</b> , implied or stated within a specific <b>context of use</b> .  The purpose of user needs is to serve as a helpful intermediate step in the transformation of
	the <b>context of use</b> information into comprehensive <b>user requirements</b> .
	<ol> <li>Notes:         <ol> <li>A user need is independent of any proposed solution for that need. In other words, a user need must not reference, for example, "the system" or "the website".</li> <li>User needs are identified based on various approaches including interviews with users, observations, user surveys, usability evaluations, expert analysis, etc.</li> <li>User needs often represent gaps (or discrepancies) between what is and what should be.</li> <li>User needs are transformed into user requirements. User requirements are then prioritised for implementation, taking the context of use, user priorities, trade-offs with other requirements and constraints into consideration.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>
	Examples of user needs:  1. During a presentation with a fixed time limit (context of use), a presenter (user) needs to know how much time is left (prerequisite) in order to complete the presentation in time (goal).
	<ol> <li>As part of monitoring the cash flow (context of use), an account manager (user) needs to know the number of invoices received and their amounts (prerequisite), in order to complete the daily accounting log (goal).</li> <li>See also the examples in User requirement.</li> </ol>
Requirement	A condition or capability that must be met or possessed by an <b>interactive system</b> to satisfy an agreement, standard, specification or other formally imposed documents
	Notes: 1. A requirement should have a determinable condition that makes it possible to validate it. 2. This curriculum defines the following types of requirements: a. Market requirement; b. Organisational requirement;
	<ul> <li>c. User requirement.</li> <li>3. This curriculum further distinguishes between the following types of user requirements:</li> <li>a. Qualitative user requirement;</li> <li>b. Quantitative user requirement.</li> </ul>
Market requirement	A <b>requirement</b> for an <b>interactive system</b> based on marketing policy aimed at maximizing business opportunities, purchase and use.
	Examples:  1. The website must be at least as usable as that of the two top competitors.  2. The colours used on the website must conform to the <b>style guide</b> .



Term	Definition
Organisation-	An organisational rule that <b>users</b> have to follow when conducting their <b>tasks</b> .
al requirement	Note:
	1. Organisational requirements are <b>requirements</b> on the <b>users</b> that lead to <b>requirements</b>
	on the interactive system.
	Organisational requirement may be based on regulatory requirements.
	Examples:
	1. A salesperson must have a written approval from the director for offers that exceed
	100.000 Euros.
	2. A support staff member must send a <b>user</b> of the <b>interactive system</b> a gift card with a
	value of up to 50€ if the <b>user</b> has a reasonable complaint.
	3. Organisational requirements based on regulatory requirements:
	a. Users must confirm that they have read the terms and conditions before continuing.
	b. Minors are explicitly told that they are not allowed to proceed past the front page of a
TT	sports betting website.
User	A requirement for use that provides the basis for design and evaluation of an interactive
requirement	system to meet identified user needs.
	Notes:
	1. User requirements are derived from <b>user needs</b> .
	2. A user requirement can be a
	qualitative user requirement or a quantitative user requirement.
	3. Both qualitative and quantitative user requirements provide a basis for the design of
	the <b>interactive system</b> and can be verified by evaluating the <b>interactive system</b> . While
	qualitative user requirements address the way in which the interactive system is used
	to arrive at a user <b>goal</b> , <b>quantitative user requirements</b> set measurable <b>goals</b> for
	usability and user experience.
	Examples of relationships between <b>user need</b> and user requirement:
	1. <b>User need</b> : Users who frequently rent cars from a car rental company need to know
	what options they chose for previous reservations so they can apply them to future
	reservations.
	Corresponding user requirements:
	a. Users must be able to select the types of cars they chose in previous reservations;
	b. Users must be able to select the payment methods they used for previous reservations.
	2. <b>User need</b> : During a disaster in a motorway tunnel, car drivers in the tunnel need to
	avoid breathing poisonous gases in order to survive the disaster.
	Corresponding user requirements:
	a. Users must be able to recognise immediately that poisonous gases are present around
	them as soon as they have been technically detected.
	b. At any location in the tunnel, users must be able to detect how to get to the next
	rescue room.
	Corresponding organisational requirements:
	c. The organisation operating the tunnel must ensure that rescue rooms are available at
	regular intervals throughout the tunnel.
	d. The air pressure in the rescue rooms must exceed the air pressure of the surroundings.
	3. <b>User need</b> : During heart surgery, the anaesthetist needs to be aware of the patient's vital
	signs in order to keep them stable.
	Corresponding user requirements:
	a. Users must be able to monitor changes in the blood pressure during the operation.
	b. At any time, users must see the heart activity of the patient.



<b>(7)</b>	
Term	Definition
Qualitative	A statement of what <b>users</b> must be able to locate, recognise, understand, select or input as
user	part of conducting a task with the interactive system to meet identified user needs in a
requirement	specified context of use.
	Note:
	1. Qualitative user requirements are not features. They provide the basis for features.
	Examples:
	1. Reasonable qualitative user requirements:
	a. "The user must be able to see the number of people and suitcases that can fit into the
	cars available within a specific price range at the car rental website."
	b. "The user must be able to select a car with automatic transmission on the car rental
	website."  "The year must be able to see the enemine hours of a greatful continue."
	c. "The user must be able to see the opening hours of a specific car rental location."
	2. Incorrect qualitative user requirements:
	<ul><li>a. "The user interface must be usable and support all user tasks" (too general).</li><li>b. "The user interface must have a big, red 'Rent this car' button" (too detailed, and</li></ul>
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Quantitative	there is no user requirement, only a solution).  A required level of <b>usability</b> to meet identified <b>user needs</b> expressed in terms of measures
user	of effectiveness, efficiency, satisfaction, accessibility, user experience and avoidance of
requirement	harm from use in a specified <b>context of use</b> .
requirement	
	Notes:
	1. Quantitative user requirements are acceptance criteria for <b>usability</b> and <b>user</b>
	<b>experience</b> , for example whether users can solve particular <b>tasks</b> with the <b>interactive</b>
	system in an acceptable time or with a specified maximum number of use errors.
	2. When defining suitable quantitative user requirements:
	a. Look for guidance from existing systems – <b>users</b> will expect the new <b>interactive system</b> to perform better than or at least as well as the existing system.
	b. Consider quantitative user requirements set by <b>stakeholders</b> who have an interest in a
	specific minimum performance of the <b>interactive system</b> .
	c. Verify quantitative user requirements with <b>users</b> to determine whether or not they are
	appropriate from their perspective.
	Examples:
	1. Measure of effectiveness: "95% of 25 users who have used the car rental website at least
	twice within the past 6 months must be able to rent an economy size car at Frankfurt
	Airport (Germany) for two days starting tomorrow at 09.00."
	2. Measure of efficiency: "80% of 25 users who have used the car rental website at least
	twice within the past 6 months must be able to rent an economy size car at Frankfurt
	Airport (Germany) for two days starting tomorrow at 09.00, within 5 minutes."  Measure of satisfaction: "90% of 25 users who have used the car reptal website at least
	3. Measure of satisfaction: "80% of 25 users who have used the car rental website at least twice within the post 2 months must answer 'Agree' or 'Strongly agree' to the statement
	twice within the past 2 months must answer 'Agree' or 'Strongly agree' to the statement 'I would recommend this website to a friend.'"
	4 35 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
	4. Measure of accessibility: "80% of 25 people using screen readers must be able to rent a car as specified in example 2 within 10 minutes."
	5. Measure of user experience: "After using the interactive system for a month, 80% of 200
	users must answer 'Agree' or 'Strongly agree' to the statement
	'I would recommend this product to a friend.' ".
	6. Measure of avoidance of harm from use: "99% of 100 users who have booked a flight
	must be fully aware of the dates they have selected, and of any additional costs.
	7. Compare the above example to the examples in <b>qualitative user requirement</b> .




#### 6. Design: produce design solutions to meet user requirements

LO#	Learning Objective
6.0.1	Understand what a <b>use scenario</b> is
6.0.2	Know what information architecture and navigation structure are
6.0.3	Know what card sorting is
6.0.4	Know what a storyboard is
6.0.5	Understand prototypes and wireframes
6.0.6	Know the difference between low-fidelity and high-fidelity prototypes
6.0.7	Know what user assistance is

The purpose of "Design: produce design solutions to meet user requirements" is to convert user needs and user requirements into a working interactive system – that is, a design solution. Deliverables from the analysis of the context of use, such as user groups, as-is scenarios and personas are also used. The conversion considers dialogue principles, heuristics, style guides and design concepts like affordance and mental models as described in section 6.1. Design patterns are existing design solutions that have been shown to work for users and can therefore be reused in the designs of new interactive systems.

The approach is iterative as indicated by the following diagram:



The approach is **iterative**. The hatched arrows indicate **iterative** cycles that are required when a **usability evaluation** shows that **user requirements** have not yet been fully met. Many iterations may be required before the **interactive system** meets the **user requirements**.

Use scenarios, storyboards and user journey maps are cheap and fast methods of describing how tasks could be accomplished with the intended interactive system. They tell stakeholders how user needs could be met. Use scenarios are text-based; user journey maps are graphic; storyboards are comic-book like depictions.

The primary purpose of a **prototype** is to serve as the basis for a **usability evaluation**, often a **usability test**. The results from the **usability evaluation** guide the redesign and refinement of the **prototype**. A secondary purpose of a **prototype** is to give **stakeholders** and **users** an early impression of the design of the **interactive system**, in order to promote constructive discussions.



**Prototypes** can be **low-fidelity prototypes** or **high-fidelity prototypes** containing varying amounts of detail and interaction.

**Low-fidelity prototypes** are based on **use scenarios** and **storyboards**. They may look sketchy. They are cheap to create and thus easy to discard if they don't work. They may include **wireframes**, which are screens that consist solely of straight lines, rectangles and text. They may also include screens drawn on paper. In a **usability evaluation** of a paper-based **low-fidelity prototype**, a human being replaces the computer.

The **iterative** process gradually refines **low-fidelity prototypes** into **high-fidelity prototypes**, which in turn inform and steer a working **interactive system** that can be released once it meets **user requirements**.

The **information architecture** and the **navigation structure** are developed in parallel with the **prototypes**. From a human-centred point of view, the **information architecture** is the naming and structuring of the information that is accessible to **users**. The **navigation structure** is the logical organisation of the screens, pages and windows that comprise the **user interface** – that is, the links and menus that enable **users** to get from one set of information to another.

**Card sorting** can be used to create a human-centred **navigation structure**.



Term	Definition
Use scenario	A narrative text description that describes an intended usage situation with the <b>interactive</b>
	system under development.
	Notes:  1. The purpose of use scenarios is to provide a very early, tangible basis for discussions about what the future interactive system could be like for the user, before prototypes are constructed. Use scenarios are based on a deep understanding of the context of use, user needs, user requirements as well as discussions with users and stakeholders.  2. The specific user in the use scenario is often a persona.  3. Use scenarios illustrate use of the interactive system in a real context. They can be viewed as textual representations of the initial prototypes of a new interactive system. They enable developers to understand processes and context.  4. A use scenario should avoid placing unnecessary constraints on the design by referencing specific objects, such as command buttons, in the user interface.
	<ol> <li>Example of a use scenario:         <ol> <li>"Before leaving for the airport, John Miller checks the availability at the airport car park with his new application. If enough parking spaces are available, he reserves one with his new application and then calmly drives to the airport. He knows that since the application has been launched there is a separate entry for cars with reservations." Compare this example to the example in as-is scenario.</li> </ol> </li> <li>The following text is a bad example because it is too specific and violates note 5:         <ol> <li>"John Miller looks at the 'Overview of available parking spaces' screen and selects one by clicking the 'Select' button. He then clicks the 'Reserve'-button and reserves the parking space."</li> </ol> </li> </ol>
Information	The naming and structuring of the information that must be accessible to the <b>user</b> .
architecture	Note:
	1. Examples of UX-related deliverables in the information architecture:
	a. Data model from the user perspective; content and content hierarchy;
	b. The words used in the <b>user interface</b> , for navigation and content;
	c. Navigation structure, for example menu structure and site map.
Navigation structure	The logical organisation of the units of displayed information that comprise the <b>user interface</b> .
	<ol> <li>Notes:</li> <li>In practice, the "units of displayed information" are often screens, pages or windows.</li> <li>The navigation structure comprises:         <ul> <li>a. The logical structure, for example hierarchy, the order and grouping of elements of the user interface and navigation items.</li> <li>b. The navigation elements that are used to navigate the structure, for example menus and breadcrumbs.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The navigation structure is part of the information architecture.</li> </ol>



#### Term Definition

Storyboard

A sequence of visual frames illustrating the interplay between a **user** and an envisioned **interactive system**.


#### Notes:

- 1. The purpose of a storyboard is similar to the purpose of a **use scenario**.
- 2. A storyboard is a comic book style representation of a **use scenario**.
- 3. Storyboards can also be used to illustrate a current **user experience**.

Example: Parking assistant, similar to example 1 in the definition of Use scenario















Term	Definition
Card sorting	A method for structuring information – such as menus in a <b>navigation structure</b> – that involves writing key concepts onto different cards and asking <b>users</b> to sort these cards into groups.
	<ol> <li>Notes:         <ol> <li>There are two methods of card sorting – open and closed:</li></ol></li></ol>
Prototype	A representation of all or part of an interactive system that, although limited in some way, can be used for analysis, design and usability evaluation.  Notes:  1. The key purposes of a prototype are a. To facilitate early evaluation of the effectiveness and efficiency of an interactive system at a time when it is still reasonably cheap to make fundamental changes to information architecture and design. b. To increase the interest of prospective users in the new interactive system based on a concrete example. Users often find it easier to criticise something than to answer the open question "What do you want?". c. To show stakeholders and colleagues a concrete example of what it is that you are planning. d. To serve as a specification for the implementation of the interactive system. This particularly applies to high-fidelity prototypes.  2. This curriculum distinguishes between low-fidelity prototype and high-fidelity prototype.
Wireframe	A screen or page in a <b>low-fidelity prototype</b> for a graphical <b>user interface</b> comprised of lines, rectangular boxes and text that represent the intended interaction design.  Note:  1. Wireframes typically do not address visual design and precise layout.
Low-fidelity prototype	A low-cost, simple illustration of a design or concept used to gather feedback from users and other stakeholders during the early stages of design.  Notes:  1. A low-fidelity prototype is often created using paper, pens, sticky notes and so on. Screen mock-ups are often made using a prototyping tool.  2. A low-fidelity prototype may be operated by a human being instead of a computer.  3. A low-fidelity prototype should be capable of being updated in moments.



Term	Definition
High-fidelity	A software <b>prototype</b> of the <b>user interface</b> to the <b>interactive system</b> that is being designed.
prototype	A high-fidelity prototype more closely resembles the finished <b>interactive system</b> .
User	Information to help a <b>user</b> to interact with an <b>interactive system</b> .
assistance	<ol> <li>Notes:</li> <li>User assistance can include describing the user interface, but it also focuses on how to help the user to best apply the capabilities of the interactive system to their needs.</li> <li>User assistance incorporates all forms of help available to a user, for example         <ol> <li>User documentation: Written or other information for users about an interactive system, how it works, and how to use it;</li> <li>Online help: Assistance delivered through computer software that can be topic-oriented, procedural or reference information;</li> <li>System-initiated guidance: Unsolicited, explicit information about an event or a</li> </ol> </li> </ol>
	condition from an <b>interactive system</b> to a <b>user</b> .  Examples of system-initiated guidance are:  a. Messages (informative, warning, error), for example "Your battery is almost empty. Please connect your notebook to a charger";  b. Status information, for example "You have 7 new messages";  c. Instructions, for example "Separate e-mail addresses by a space, comma, semicolon or line break."



#### 6.1. Dialogue principles and user interface guidelines

LO#	Learning Objective
6.1.1	Understand the concept: dialogue principle
6.1.2	Know each of the seven dialogue principles
6.1.3	Know what a <b>heuristic</b> is
6.1.4	Understand the concept: affordance
6.1.5	Know what a mental model is
6.1.6	Understand the purpose of user interface guidelines and style guides
6.1.7	Understand the differences between dialogue principles and user interface guidelines
6.1.8	Know what a <b>user interface element</b> is
6.1.9	Know what a <b>design pattern</b> is

**Dialogue principles** and **user interface guidelines** are rules, of varying levels of specificity, used to guide the design of the interaction (see section 6). They are intended to make the interaction effective, efficient and satisfying, to avoid common **usability problems** and to ensure a **consistent user interface**.

**Dialogue principles** and **heuristics** are general guidance for the design of usable **dialogues**. There are seven **dialogue principles**; examples of **dialogue principles** are **conformity with user expectations** and **error tolerance**. **Dialogue principles** are not bound to any specific technology or method.

The concepts of **affordance** and **mental model** supplement the **dialogue principles**. **Affordance** is an aspect of an object that makes it obvious how the object could be used. A **mental model** is the perception people have of themselves and of the things with which they interact.

**User interface guidelines** are low-level, specific rules or recommendations for **user interface** design that leave little room for interpretation, allowing designers to implement them consistently.

**Style guides** are collections of **user interface guidelines**; they are used to ensure consistency in the appearance and behaviour of **user interfaces** across **interactive systems** produced by the same organisation.

A user interface element is a discreet component of the user interface. User interface elements include text, hyperlinks and command buttons.

A **design pattern** is a general solution to a commonly occurring problem within a given context in software design. Examples of **design patterns** are log-in dialogues and check-out process in a web shop.



Томт	Definition
Term Dialogue	General goals for the design of useful and usable dialogues.
_	General goals for the design of useful and usable dialogues.
principles	Notes:
	1. Dialogue principles are not bound to any specific technology or method.
	2. ISO 9241-110 lists the following seven dialogue principles:
	a. Suitability for the task;
	b. Self-descriptiveness;
	c. Conformity with user expectations;
	d. Suitability for learning;
	e. Controllability;
	f. Error tolerance;
	g. Suitability for individualisation.
	3. Comparison of dialogue principle, heuristic and user interface guideline:
	Concept Applicability
	Dialogue principle General
	Heuristic General, but more specific than a dialogue
	principle
	User interface Specific to a user interface platform, technology,
	guideline application domain or organisation
Suitability for	The property of an <b>interactive system</b> to support the <b>user</b> in the completion of the <b>task</b> –
the task	that is, to base the functionality and the dialogue on the <b>task</b> characteristics (rather than the
	technology chosen to perform the <b>task</b> ).
	Notes:
	1. Examples of recommendations from ISO 9241-110 for observing the <b>dialogue</b>
	principle:
	a. The <b>dialogue</b> should present the <b>user</b> with information related to the successful
	completion of the task.
	b. The <b>dialogue</b> should avoid presenting the <b>user</b> with information not needed for the
	successful completion of relevant tasks.
	c. The format of input and output should be appropriate to the <b>task</b> . If typical input
	values are required for a <b>task</b> , these values should be available to the <b>user</b>
	automatically as defaults.
	d. The steps required by the <b>dialogue</b> should be appropriate to the completion of the
	task – that is, necessary steps should be included and unnecessary steps should be
	avoided.
	2. Suitability for the task is a <b>dialogue principle</b> .
Self-	The property of a <b>dialogue</b> to, at any time, make it obvious to the <b>users</b> which dialogue they
descriptive-	are in, where they are within the <b>dialogue</b> , which actions can be taken, and how they can be
ness	performed.
	Notes:
	1. Clear and descriptive titles, breadcrumbs, appropriate feedback and progress indicators,
	and <b>affordances</b> , including clear instructions, are means to make an <b>interactive system</b>
	self-descriptive.
	2. Self-descriptiveness is a dialogue principle.
Conformity	Correspondence to predictable contextual needs of the user and to commonly accepted
with user	conventions.
expectations	
F	Notes:
	1. Consistency is an aspect of Conformity with user expectations.
	2. Compliance with <b>style guides</b> is a means to establish <b>consistency</b> .
	3. Conformity with user expectations is a <b>dialogue principle</b> .



Term	Definition
Suitability for learning	A dialogue is suitable for learning when it supports and guides the <b>user</b> in learning to use the <b>interactive system</b> .
	Notes:  1. Examples of recommendations from ISO 9241-110 for observing the dialogue principle:  a. The dialogue should provide sufficient feedback about the intermediary and final results of an activity so that the user learns from successfully accomplished activities.  b. If appropriate to the tasks and learning goals, the interactive system should allow the user to explore ("try out") dialogue steps without negative consequences.  2. Suitability for learning is a dialogue principle.
Control-	<ol> <li>Example of suitability for learning:</li> <li>When a user downloads a new app, very often it will have a step-by-step guide on how to use some of the key features. Initially, the guide will display a short explanation of a feature. On pressing 'next' it will explain the next feature, and so on.</li> <li>The ability of a user to initiate and control the direction and pace of the interaction until the</li> </ol>
lability	point at which the <b>goal</b> has been met.
	<ol> <li>Notes:</li> <li>Properly placed and labelled exit-buttons ("Cancel", "Skip" or "Stop"), undo and redo are means to make an interactive system controllable.</li> <li>Controllability is a dialogue principle.</li> </ol>
Error tolerance	The property of a <b>dialogue</b> to achieve the intended result with either no, or minimal, corrective action by the <b>user</b> despite evident errors in input.
	Note: 1. Error tolerance is a dialogue principle.
	<ol> <li>Examples of error tolerance:</li> <li>When an error occurs, the interactive system should provide a precise and comprehensible explanation. The explanation must also be constructive – that is, it must suggest a solution to the problem.</li> <li>If severe consequences could result from a user action, the interactive system should provide explanation and request confirmation from the user before carrying out the action.</li> </ol>
Suitability for individualisati	The property of a dialogue that allows <b>users</b> to modify interactions and the presentation of information to suit their individual capabilities and needs.
on	Note: 1. Suitability for individualisation is a <b>dialogue principle</b> .
	Example:  1. A news app lets <b>users</b> individualise which news topics or content they want to see, for example, they can choose to see technology news but not sports or entertainment news. It also lets <b>users</b> adjust certain characteristics of the <b>user interface</b> , for example, text size and contrast.



Term	Definition
Consistency	The same information is presented in the same way throughout the interactive system, in accordance with the user's expectation.
	Notes: 1. Consistency is an aspect of Conformity with user expectations. 2. Consistency is relevant on several levels, for example; a. Within a screen; b. Across screens in the same interactive system; c. Across interactive systems from the same manufacturer; d. Across similar interactive systems from different manufacturers.
Heuristic	A generally recognised rule of thumb that helps to achieve usability.
	Note: 1. For a comparison of dialogue principle, heuristic and user interface guideline, see dialogue principle, note 3.
	Examples of generally recognised heuristics:  1. Speak the users' language (related to the dialogue principle, conformity with user expectations).
	<ol> <li>Follow platform conventions (related to the dialogue principle, conformity with user expectations.</li> <li>Minimise the user's memory load by making objects, actions, and options visible (related to the dialogue principle, suitability for the task).</li> <li>Visibility of system status (related to the dialogue principle, self-descriptiveness).</li> </ol>
	5. Help users recognise, diagnose, and recover from errors (related to the <b>dialogue principle</b> , <b>error tolerance</b> ).
Affordance	An aspect of an object that makes it obvious how the object could be used.
Mental model	Examples of affordances:  1. A handle on a teapot or teacup provides an obvious affordance for holding.  2. A command button on a web page provides an affordance for clicking.  3. The "swipe to delete" design pattern has no affordance at all.  The perception people have of themselves, others, the environment, and the things with
	which they interact.
	<ol> <li>Notes:         <ol> <li>Alternative, popular definition: A person's thought process about how something works in the real world.</li> <li>People form mental models through experience, training and instruction. The mental model of an <b>interactive system</b> is formed largely by interpreting its perceived actions and its visible structure. Expectations resulting from the use of other or similar systems are also of importance.</li> </ol> </li> <li>If a user's mental model of an <b>interactive system</b> is incomplete or contradictory, then the user cannot easily use the <b>interactive system</b>.</li> </ol>
	Example:  1. For a word processing system, a <b>user</b> 's mental model may be that all changes to a document are saved instantly. An alternative mental model is that changes are saved only when the <b>user</b> selects "Save". The two mental models make a difference for the <b>user</b> 's actions if the word processing system crashes.



Term	Definition
User interface guideline	A low-level, specific rule or recommendation for <b>user interface</b> design that leaves little room for interpretation, allowing designers to implement it consistently.
	<ol> <li>Notes:</li> <li>Collections of user interface guidelines are called style guides.</li> <li>For a comparison of dialogue principle, heuristic and user interface guideline, see dialogue principle, note 3.</li> </ol>
	<ol> <li>Examples of user interface guidelines:</li> <li>For all controls, such as radio buttons, select the safest, most secure value by default to prevent loss of data or system access. If safety and security aren't factors, select the most likely or convenient value.</li> <li>The company logo must appear in the upper left corner of each page. Its position must be exactly the same as on the home page. Clicking the logo must cause the home page to be displayed.</li> <li>The height of a command button must be 23 pixels.</li> </ol>
Style guide	A collection of <b>user interface guidelines</b> used to ensure <b>consistency</b> in the appearance and behaviour of <b>user interfaces</b> across <b>interactive systems</b> produced by the same organisation
	Note:  1. Many organisations have a style guide to ensure the <b>consistency</b> of their corporate design, for example how to use and how not to use the logo, corporate colours and standard layouts for print and advertising.
	Examples of style guides:  1. Windows User Experience Interaction Guidelines for Windows Desktop apps ("UX Guide")  2. IOS Human Interface Guidelines
User interface element	A basic component of a <b>user interface</b> that is presented to the <b>user</b> by the <b>interactive system</b> .
	Note:  1. User interface elements are the basis for creating the functions that users need in order to complete tasks with the interactive system.  2. User interface elements may or may not be interactive
	<ol> <li>Examples:</li> <li>Common examples of user interface elements include paragraphs of text, hyperlinks, push buttons, radio buttons, check boxes and tool tips.</li> <li>A single word in a paragraph of text or the words on a push button are not user interface elements.</li> </ol>
	3. A log-in window, consisting of some text, two input boxes (for user name and password), and a log-in push button, is not a user interface element; it is composed of several user interface elements.



Term	Definition
Design pattern	A solution to a commonly occurring design problem within a given context of use, that describes the design problem, a general solution, and examples of how to apply it.
	<ol> <li>Notes;</li> <li>A single user interface element to solve a certain design problem can be considered a design pattern, for example a tab.</li> <li>Design patterns must comply with relevant user interface guidelines.</li> </ol>
	<ol> <li>Examples:         <ol> <li>Accordions, tabs</li> <li>Solve the design problem "The interactive system has more data to display than can fit in the available screen area."</li> </ol> </li> <li>Wizards         <ol> <li>Solve the design problem "Novice users need a complicated procedure explained in small, easy-to-digest steps."</li> </ol> </li> <li>Frequently asked questions (FAQ)         <ol> <li>Solve the design problem "Users may have one of many questions concerning an interactive system."</li> </ol> </li> </ol>



### 7. Evaluate the design against user requirements

### 7.1. Usability tests

LO#	Learning Objective
7.1.1	Understand usability evaluation
7.1.2	Understand the role of usability evaluation in human-centred design
7.1.3	Understand the key differences between usability tests, usability inspections and user
	surveys
7.1.4	Understand why interviews and focus groups are unsuitable for usability evaluation
7.1.5	Understand usability test and the main activities in a usability test
7.1.6	Know what a remote usability test and an unmoderated usability test are
7.1.7	Know how a <b>usability test</b> is prepared
7.1.8	Know what a usability test plan and a usability test script are
7.1.9	Understand usability test task
7.1.10	Know how usability test participants are recruited
7.1.11	Understand the activities in a usability test session: Briefing, pre-session interview, moderation and post-session interview
7.1.12	Know what a <b>usability lab</b> is
7.1.13	Know what usability evaluation reports and usability test reports are
7.1.14	Understand usability findings
7.1.15	Know the importance of positive usability findings
7.1.16	Know the ratings used for usability findings
7.1.17	Understand the responsibilities in a usability test: Moderator, note-taker, observer and usability test participant



The purpose of a **usability evaluation** is to determine whether or not an **interactive system**, or a **prototype** of an **interactive system**, meets the **user requirements** and applicable **dialogue principles**, **heuristics** and **user interface guidelines**.

This curriculum addresses three forms of usability evaluation: usability test, usability inspection and user survey. In usability tests and user surveys, users are involved in the usability evaluation, while usability inspections are carried out solely by user experience professionals.

A **usability test** shows what representative **users** are able to accomplish with the **interactive system** when they carry out representative **tasks**. Eliciting personal opinions from **users**, or discussing them, is not part of a **usability test**.

The main activities in a **usability test** are shown in the diagram below:



The first activity in a **usability test** is to write the **usability test plan**. This describes the purpose of the **usability test** and provides cost and time estimates.

The **usability test script** contains the **usability test tasks** and checklists for the **briefing** and interviews that are part of each **usability test session**.

The preparation of the **usability test** also includes **recruiting usability test participants** – these are representative **users** of the **interactive system**.

A usability test typically consists of 4 to 25 usability test sessions. In each usability test session, a usability test participant carries out specific, representative usability test tasks with the interactive system.

The moderator starts the usability test session by briefing the usability test participant about what will happen during the session. The moderator then conducts a pre-session interview with the usability test participant to learn about their background and knowledge of the interactive system they will be testing. The moderator quietly observes the usability test participant, who is encouraged to think aloud as they solve usability test tasks. A note-taker documents successes and failures. Stakeholders often observe usability test sessions to see for themselves how the interactive system is performing. Finally, the moderator conducts a brief post-session interview with the usability test participant to understand their overall impressions of the interactive system.

After all **usability test sessions** have been completed, the results are analysed and documented. A **usability test report** is written which describes the **usability findings** from the **usability test**. The **usability test report** contains both **usability problems** and positive **usability findings**.



Term	Definition
Usability evaluation	A process through which information about the <b>usability</b> of an <b>interactive system</b> is gathered in order to improve the <b>interactive system</b> (known as formative usability evaluation) or to assess the merit or worth of an <b>interactive system</b> (known as summative usability evaluation).
	Note:  1. Usability evaluation is a common term for a. Usability test; b. User survey; c. Usability inspection.
Usability test	A usability evaluation that involves representative users performing specific tasks with the interactive system to enable identification and analysis of usability problems, or the measurement of effectiveness, efficiency, and user satisfaction.
	<ol> <li>Notes:         <ol> <li>A usability test usually has three phases:</li></ol></li></ol>
_	observers – these are often stakeholders. A note-taker records important usability findings.
Remote usability test	A usability test where the <b>usability test participant</b> and the <b>moderator</b> are in different physical locations.
	<ol> <li>Notes:</li> <li>The moderator observes the usability test participant using an internet connection.</li> <li>The moderator communicates with the usability test participant over the telephone or via an internet connection.</li> </ol>



Term Unmoderated usability test	Definition A usability test where usability test participants solve usability test tasks without a
usability test	
	moderator.
-	Notes:
	1. The <b>usability test participant's</b> actions are usually video recorded for later analysis.
	2. Unmoderated usability tests are usually conducted on the usability test participant's
	computer. The <b>usability test session</b> is recorded using specialist software installed on
	the computer. After each <b>usability test session</b> , the software sends the video recording
	to the client for analysis.
	3. An unmoderated usability test is sometimes called an unmoderated remote usability test.
Usability test	A brief description of the purpose and extent of a <b>usability test</b> .
plan	Notes:
	1. The usability test plan is intended for management to decide whether the <b>usability test</b>
	should be conducted or not. It is deliberately brief and focuses on the resources required
	for the <b>usability test</b> .
	2. The usability test plan includes:
	a. The <b>goal</b> of the <b>usability test</b> ;
	b. The number of planned usability test participants;
	c. The approximate length of each <b>usability test session</b> ;
	d. The name of the <b>moderator</b> ;
	<ul><li>e. A time plan.</li><li>3. The usability test plan may also include a cost estimate for the usability test including</li></ul>
	person hours.
	4. Further details about the <b>usability test</b> such as <b>usability test tasks</b> , test method and
	required software and hardware are provided in the <b>usability test script</b> .
Usability test	A checklist used by a moderator in a usability test to keep track of briefing and pre-
script	session interview questions, usability test tasks, and post-session interview questions.
Usability test	A description of a task that a moderator asks a usability test participant to carry out
task	during a usability test.
	Examples of usability test tasks for a car rental website:
	1. What would you do if you needed to speak to someone about renting a car?
	2. Please rent a car that suits your needs and is in a price range that you'd normally
Recruiting	
1.coruming	
	Intended users
	intended <b>users</b> 2. Relevant characteristics might include: Personal and professional background,
Recruiting	consider. You can choose the location you pick it up from and the amount of time you hire it for.  3. Please rent a small car from London Heathrow Airport, from 9.00 tomorrow morning. You'll need to return the car 4 days later, to the same location, at midday.  4. Please could you cancel the reservation that you made earlier.  Examples of invalid usability test tasks:  1. Tell me what you think of the home page (opinion).  2. Stroll around on the website for 5 minutes and tell me what you think (hazy, opinion).  3. Are the rental conditions agreeable? (does not address usability).  A process for selecting candidates that have the appropriate characteristics to participate in a human-centred activity such as a focus group, contextual interview, or usability test.  Notes:  1. A recruitment screener is often used to determine whether candidates have the appropriate characteristics to participate in the human-centred activity. It consists of a series of questions for prospective participants to identify whether they represent the



Term	Definition
Usability test session	A part of a usability test where one usability test participant carries out representative usability test tasks using the interactive system or a prototype of the interactive system.
	Note:
	1. In a usability test session, the <b>moderator</b> typically:
	a. Greets the usability test participant;
	b. Conducts the <b>briefing</b> and <b>pre-session interview</b> ;
	c. Hands out usability test tasks to the usability test participant; d. Quietly observes the usability test participant while they carry out usability test
	tasks;
	e. Conducts the <b>post-session interview</b> .
Usability test participant	A representative user who carries out usability test tasks in a usability test session.
Briefing	The first activity in an interview or a usability test session where the usability test
	<b>participant</b> is informed about the purpose of the <b>interview</b> or <b>usability test</b> and what their role and contribution will be.
Pre-session	An activity in a <b>usability test session</b> where the <b>usability test participant</b> answers
interview	questions about their background and previous experience with the <b>interactive system</b> and related <b>interactive systems</b> .
	Note:
	1. The pre-session interview takes place after the <b>briefing</b> but before the <b>usability test</b> participant starts carrying out <b>usability test tasks</b> .
Post-session	An activity in a <b>usability test session</b> where the <b>usability test participant</b> answers
interview	questions about their <b>user experience</b> and general impression of the <b>interactive system</b> .
	Notes:
	1. The post-session interview takes place after the <b>usability test participant</b> has carried out as many <b>usability test tasks</b> as time allows.
	2. The opinions that surface during the post-session interview can help the <b>moderator</b> in
	understanding causes for usability problems, rating usability problems and
TT 1:1'4 1.1	understanding what the <b>usability test participant</b> liked.
Usability lab	Two or more rooms that are specially equipped for <b>usability tests</b> or <b>focus groups</b> .
	Note:
	1. A usability lab often consists of
	a. a test room where the <b>usability test participant</b> sits;
	b. an observation room where <b>stakeholders</b> can watch <b>usability test participants</b> as they solve <b>usability test tasks</b> .
	The two rooms are usually separated by a one-way mirror which enables <b>observers</b> to
	watch usability test sessions without usability test participants being aware.
Usability	A document reporting the results of a usability test, a usability inspection or a user survey.
evaluation	Note:
report	1. The usability evaluation report for a <b>usability test</b> is usually referred to as a <b>usability</b>
	test report.



Term	Definition
Usability test	A document that describes the results of a <b>usability test</b> .
report	Notes:
	A usability test report typically contains:
	a. An executive summary;
	b. 5-50 <b>usability findings</b> (including positive <b>usability findings</b> );
	c. The usability test script used for the usability test;
	<ul> <li>d. Screenshots or pictures that supplement the description of important usability findings.</li> </ul>
	2. A usability test report is always required. A basic usability test report may consist of 3-5
	pages or slides:
	a. A 1-page executive summary;
	b. 1-2 pages communicating the 5-6 most important usability findings;
	c. 1-2 pages detailing the usability test tasks.
Usability	A result from a <b>usability evaluation</b> .
finding	Note:
	1. A usability finding can describe:
	a. A usability problem;
	<ul> <li>b. Something that users liked – that is, a positive usability finding.</li> <li>2. Reporting positive usability findings ensures that</li> </ul>
	a. Teams are aware of aspects of the interactive system that currently work well, so that
	they are not unintentionally changed.
	b. Features that <b>usability test participants</b> liked are not removed simply because the
	development team was not aware that test participants appreciated them.
	c. A more positive attitude is adopted towards the <b>usability test report</b> and the
I Ingliite	usability evaluation in general.
Usability problem	A difficulty in using the <b>user interface</b> that affects the ability of the <b>user</b> to achieve their <b>goals effectively</b> , or <b>efficiently</b> , or with <b>satisfaction</b> .
problem	
	Note:
	1. Usability problems can lead to confusion, error, delay, or outright failure to complete a <b>task</b> .
	Examples of usability problems are:
	Search is not error tolerant.
	For example, a city search for "brigton" (instead of "brighton") provides no results.
	2. A car rental website uses terms that users don't understand, for example CDW
	(Collision Damage Waiver), and the website provides no explanation of the terms.
	<ul><li>3. A website has complicated rules for new passwords.</li><li>4. A loud video starts playing the moment a user lands on a web page.</li></ul>
	5. A virus scan of a disc takes several hours. The anti-virus program offers no way of
	pausing or stopping the scan.



Term	Definition
Rating	A measure given to a <b>usability finding</b> from a <b>usability test</b> to indicate the impact and
	criticality on the <b>user experience</b> and the consequences.
	Notes:
	1. <b>Usability findings</b> are rated from the <b>usability test participants'</b> point of view. Sometimes, the ratings are done in cooperation with a domain expert.
	2. Typical ratings are:
	a. Positive finding;
	b. Minor problem;
	c. Major problem; d. Critical problem;
	e. Catastrophic problem – existential threat (life-threatening problem).
	Examples:
	1. Inability to book a flight, or booking a wrong, expensive, non-refundable flight due to poor <b>usability</b> are critical <b>usability problems</b> .
	2. Renting a car with an inadequate liability insurance, or administering a lethal dose of medication due to poor <b>usability</b> are catastrophic <b>usability problems</b> .
Moderator	A neutral person who conducts a <b>usability test session</b> or a <b>focus group</b> session.
	Notes:
	1. The moderator's tasks during a <b>usability test session</b> are described under <b>usability test session</b> .
	2. Facilitator is a frequently used synonym for moderator.
Moderation	The activity carried out by a <b>moderator</b> in a <b>usability test</b> or <b>focus group</b> .
Note-taker	A user experience professional who makes notes of usability findings during a usability test session, focus group or interview.
	Notes:  1. Note-taking can be handled by the <b>moderator</b> in order to keep costs down.
	2. The use of an additional note-taker allows the <b>moderator</b> to concentrate fully on the
01	usability test participant.
Observer	A person who watches users in an observation, usability test session or focus group.
	Note:
	Observers do not interfere with the usability activity. Observers may be actively involved in the analysis of the results.



#### 7.2. Other evaluation methods

LO#	Learning Objective
7.2.1	Know what a <b>usability inspection</b> is
7.2.2	Know what a heuristic evaluation is
7.2.3	Know what a <b>user survey</b> is
7.2.4	Know what a <b>questionnaire</b> is, in particular its fields of application and the need for it to be usable

**Usability inspection** is a form of **usability evaluation**. It is based on the judgment of one or more evaluators who examine or use an **interactive system** to identify potential **usability problems**, and deviations from established **dialogue principles**, **heuristics**, **user interface guidelines** and **user requirements**. The evaluators base their evaluation on their experience as **user experience professionals** or as **users** of the **interactive system** that is being evaluated.

A **heuristic evaluation** is a specific form of a **usability inspection** that is guided by a list of approximately 10 **heuristics**.

User surveys evaluate users' satisfaction with an interactive system. In a user survey, users report subjective data into a questionnaire based on their experience of using an interactive system. The usability of a questionnaire is important; for example, the questions in the questionnaire must be easy to understand and the questionnaire must keep users informed of their progress.

**User surveys** are also used to gather **context of use** information as part of understanding the **context of use**.



Term	Definition
Usability inspection	A usability evaluation based on the judgment of one or more evaluators who examine or use an interactive system to identify potential usability problems and deviations from established dialogue principles, heuristics, user interface guidelines and user requirements.
	<ol> <li>Usability inspection is often performed by user experience professionals or subject matter experts, who base their judgement on prior experience of usability problems encountered by users and their own knowledge of user interface guidelines and style guides.</li> <li>Unlike usability tests, usability inspections do not involve users, except where a user adopts the role of evaluator.</li> <li>Heuristic evaluation is a usability inspection method.</li> </ol>
Heuristic	A usability inspection in which one or more evaluators compare an interactive system to a
evaluation	list of <b>heuristics</b> and identify where the <b>interactive system</b> does not follow those <b>heuristics</b> .
	Notes:  1. The list of <b>heuristics</b> must be manageable. Usually about 10 <b>heuristics</b> are used.  2. Evaluators can be <b>user experience professionals</b> or subject matter experts ("single experts"), or both ("double experts").
User survey	A usability evaluation where users are asked to report subjective data into a questionnaire
	based on their experience of using an <b>interactive system</b> .
	Notes: 1. User surveys can be used to evaluate users' satisfaction with an interactive system and to gather information on the context of use. 2. User surveys should be developed in accordance with the human-centred design process outlined in Figure 1.



Term	Definition
Questionnaire	A set of questions that is used to collect data from <b>users</b> , often in a <b>user survey</b> .
	Notes:
	1. Two important uses of questionnaires in <b>human-centred design</b> are:
	a. To understand the <b>context of use</b> . Questions are about the <b>users</b> ' experience with the current <b>interactive system</b> and their expectations for the intended <b>interactive system</b> . Questions are answered in text form.
	b. To evaluate the <b>user experience</b> before, during and after the use of an <b>interactive system</b> .
	2. Questionnaires must be usable. They must adhere to <b>dialogue principles</b> , for example: a. Each question must contribute significantly to the purpose of the questionnaire; b. Questions must be easy to understand;
	c. The questionnaire must keep <b>users</b> informed of their progress.
	3. As with any product, testing the questionnaire for clarity with representative <b>users</b> before launch should be considered essential.
	4. This definition applies to both digital and paper questionnaires.
	Examples of questions to understand <b>context of use</b> :
	1. "When did you last use the car rental website? What was your business? How did it go?"
	2. "What do you expect from a car rental website?"
	Examples of questions to evaluate <b>satisfaction</b> :
	1. On a scale from 1 to 5, where 1 means 'strongly disagree', 3 means 'neutral', and 5 means 'strongly agree', please rate the following statements:
	a. The new car rental website looks cool.
	b. The new car rental website is easy to use.
	c. The new car rental website lets me rent cars quickly.



### Informative Appendix A. Model course for preparatory training

This appendix describes a model course that teaches the entire curriculum in 2 days. The structure of the model course is not mandatory; trainers are free to organise their CPUX-F course in any way they consider optimal. The length of the course is not mandatory either; trainers may organise courses based on students' expectations and prior knowledge lasting for example 3 days, 1 day or even 3 hours.

#### A.1. Day 1

#### Basic concepts, section 2

- Show a few examples of certification questions so students have an idea of what they are studying for and how the test will be conducted. We recommend that examples of certification questions are presented throughout the course.

#### Exercise for Basic concepts:

- Simple examples of user interfaces that illustrate basic characteristics of usability
  - Effective and less effective
  - Efficient and less efficient
  - Satisfying and less satisfying
  - Accessible and less accessible

#### Plan the human-centred design process, section 3

Analysis: understand and specify the context of use, section 4

#### Exercises for Understand and specify the context of use:

- Interview
  - The trainer selects a suitable interactive system.
  - Students conduct an interview to understand the context of use for the chosen interactive system. One student is the interviewee, another student is the interviewer. The other students take notes.
  - Students discuss important insight gained from the interview.
  - Students discuss interview mistakes, for example missing questions and leading questions.
- Context of use description
  - Students brainstorm users, tasks, resources and environment for the system.
  - Students compare their suggestions to context of use information provided by the trainer for the system.

#### Specify the user requirements, section 5

#### Exercise for Specify the user requirements:

- Derive user requirements from user needs
  - The trainer provides a list of user needs for the interactive system.
  - Students derive user requirements from the user needs.
  - Students present and discuss the user requirements.



#### A.2. Day 2

#### Preparatory exercise for Dialogue principles and user interface guidelines:

- Evaluate a user interface
  - The purpose of the exercise is to establish a common understanding of the user interface of the website, which can be used as a source for specific examples of usability problems to illustrate the dialogue principles
  - Students walk through a given user interface, for example a car rental website, and use common sense to find usability problems

#### Dialogue principles and user interface guidelines, section 6.1

Design: produce design solutions to meet user requirements, section 6

#### Exercise for Design: produce design solutions to meet user requirements:

- Students create a low-fidelity-prototype of the interactive system which was analysed in the exercises on day 1.

#### Evaluation in general and usability test, section 7.1

#### Exercise for Usability test:

 Conduct a usability test session of a public website, for example ryanair.com or theguardian.com. The trainer moderates the test session. A student is the test participant.

#### Other evaluation methods, section 7.2

#### Exercises for Other evaluation methods:

- Students review a page from a website containing usability problems that can be found with heuristic evaluation.
- Students comment on a questionnaire provided by the trainer

#### **Summary exercise:**

Example of certification test: Students have 20 minutes to answer 15 sample certification
questions which they haven't seen before. Subsequently, the trainer reveals and discusses the
answers. The goal is to familiarise students with the conditions for the certification test, and the
style and concepts used in the test.



### Informative Appendix B. Important changes to this document

Date, Version	Changes compared to version 2.10, 10-04-2014
23-03-2018, v3.15	Added learning objectives throughout the document.  Added compact summaries of each activity at the start of each section.  Removed the following definitions:  1. Intuitive;  2. Task object;  3. Usability engineer, user requirements engineer, information architect, interaction designer, user interface designer. Parts of these definitions have been moved to the definition of User experience professional;  4. Role;  5. Direct user;  6. Stakeholder requirement;
	<ol> <li>Recruitment screener (the screener is still mentioned in Recruiting);</li> <li>Quality;</li> <li>User documentation, online help, system-initiated guidance. Parts of these definitions have been moved to the definition of User assistance.</li> <li>Deleted the table "Responsibility of roles for key deliverables."</li> <li>Added the following definitions:         <ol> <li>Agile development;</li> <li>Lean UX;</li> <li>Usability maturity;</li> <li>User experience professional;</li> <li>Plan the human-centred design process;</li> <li>User experience project plan;</li> <li>Human-centred quality objectives;</li> <li>User interface element;</li> <li>Card sorting;</li> <li>User journey map.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>
	Major changes to the following definitions: - Scenario split into as-is scenario and use scenario Effectiveness, efficiency, satisfaction – updates reflect new ISO 9241-011 standard. Added index
27-07-2020, v3.16	To increase the accessibility of the document, links to the textual description of diagrams were added in separate PDF files.



#### Index

Accessibility, 13 Human-centered design Maturity. See Usability Rating, 49 Affordance, 40 activities, 6 maturity Recruiting, 46 Agile development, 7 Human-centered quality Mental model, 40 Regulatory requirement, As-is scenario, 24 objectives, 15 Moderation, 49 Moderator, 49 Remote usability test, 45 Briefing, 47 Incomplete (maturity Report. See Usability test level), 8 Navigation structure, 33 report Card sorting, 35 Indirect user, 19 Need. See User need Requirement, 28 Checklist for interview, Individualization. See Neutral question, 23 Market, 28 Note-taker, 49 22 Suitability for Organization, 29 Closed question, 23 individualization Qualitative user, 30 Conditions. See Information architecture, Observation, 21 Quantitative user, 30 Observer, 49 Environment 33 Regulatory, 29 Conformity with user Innovating (maturity Open question, 23 User, 29 level), 8 Organizational Resource, 21 expectations, 38 Consistency, 40 Inspection. See Usability requirement, 29 Context of use, 18 inspection Satisfaction, 11 Contextual interview, 22 Interactive system, 13 Pattern. See Design Scenario Controllability, 39 Interface. See User pattern As-is scenario, 24 interface Performed (maturity Usescenario, 33 Design pattern, 42 Interface element. See level), 8 Secondary user, 19 Dialogue, 13 User interface element Persona, 25 Self-descriptiveness, 38 Dialogue principle, 38 Interface guideline. See Post-session interview, 47 Social conditions, 20 Dissatisfaction, 11 User interface Pre-session interview, 47 Sprint, 7 Primary user, 19 Stakeholder, 20 guideline Interview, 22 Problem. See Usability Storyboard, 34 Effectiveness, 10 Efficiency, 10 Checklist, 22 problem Style guide, 41 Environment, 20 Contextual, 22 Project plan. See User Subtask. See Task Error tolerance, 39 Post-session, 47 experience project Suitability for Evaluation. See Usability individualization, 39 Pre-session, 47 plan evaluation ISO 9241, 13 Prototype, 35 Suitability for learning, High-fidelity, 36 Iterative, 7 39 Finding. See Usability Low-fidelity, 35 Suitability for the task, 38 finding Summative usability Journey map. See User Focus group, 24 journey map Qualitative user evaluation, 45 Formative usability requirement, 30 Survey. See User survey evaluation, 45 Leading question, 24 Quality objectives. See Task, 20 Lean UX, 7 Human-centered Goal, 13 Learning. See Suitability quality objectives Task model, 21 Guideline. See User Quantitative user Technical conditions, 20 for learning interface guideline Learning objective, 3 requirement, 30 Test. See Usability test Low-fidelity prototype, Test participant. See Question Closed, 23 Usability test Heuristic, 40 35 Heuristic evaluation, 51 Leading, 24 participant Test plan. See Usability High-fidelity prototype, Managed (maturity level), Neutral, 23 36 Open, 23 test plan Market requirement, 28 Questionnaire, 52 Test report. See Usability Human-centered design, Master-apprentice model, test report 23



Test script. See Usability test script
Test session. See
Usability test session
Test task. See Usability test task
Touchpoint, 26

Unmoderated usability test, 46 Usability, 10 Usability evaluation, 45 Usability evaluation report, 47 Usability finding, 48
Usability inspection, 51
Usability lab, 47
Usability maturity, 8
Usability problem, 48
Usability test, 45
Remote, 45
Unmoderated, 46
Usability test participant, 47
Usability test plan, 46
Usability test report, 48
Usability test script, 46
Usability test session, 47

Usability test task, 46
Use scenario, 33
User, 19
Indirect, 19
Primary, 19
Secondary, 19
User assistance, 36
User experience, 12
User experience
professional, 14
User experience project
plan, 15
User group, 20
User group profile, 20

User interface, 13
User interface element,
41
User interface guideline,
41
User journey map, 26
User need, 28
User requirement, 29
User survey, 51
UX. See User experience

Wireframe, 35